| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/565,291 | MATTSON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Allen C. Ho | 2882 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: allowed | | |
| (1) <u>Allen C. Ho</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Douglas B. McKnight (Reg. No. 50,447). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 26 May 2009 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| 7 and 23 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claims 7 and 23 have been amended to address the objections raised in the previous office action. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |